

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.800 OF 1996

The Petroleum Employees Union Petitioner
Versus
Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd. & Ors. Respondents

Ms.Ranjana Todankar i/b Mr.S. S. Pakale for the Petitioner.
Mr.Sandesh Shetty i/b Crawford Bayley & Co. for Respondent No.1.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.615 OF 2000

RCF Employees Union Petitioner
Versus
Government of India & Ors. Respondents

Ms.Ranjana Todankar i/b Mr.S. S. Pakale for the Petitioner.
Mr.Sandesh Shetty i/b Crawford Bayley & Co. for Respondent No.1.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.509 OF 2001

ONGC General Kamgar Sanghatana Petitioner
Versus
Oil & Natural Gas Corporation Ltd. Respondents

Mr.K. P. Anilkumar for the Petitioner.
Ms.Usha Singh i/b M/s.Vyas & Bhalwal for Respondent No.1.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.513 OF 2001

ONGC General Kamgar Sanghatana Petitioner
Versus
Oil & Natural Gas Corporation Ltd. Respondents

Mr.K. P. Anilkumar for the Petitioner.
Ms.Usha Singh i/b M/s.Vyas & Bhalwal for Respondent No.1.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.767 OF 2001

General Employees Association Petitioner
Versus
Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Ltd. & Ors. Respondents

Ms.Ranjana Todankar i/b Mr.S. S. Pakale for the Petitioner.
Mr.M. D. Siodia i/b Rustamji & Ginwala for the Respondent.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.953 OF 2001

Mumbai Port Trust Dock and
General Workers Union & Anr. Petitioners
Versus
The Board of Trustees of Port of Mumbai & Ors. Respondents

Ms.Ranjana Todankar i/b Mr.S. S. Pakale for the Petitioner.
None for the Respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.1342 OF 2001

All India Port & Dock Workers
Federation (Workers) & Anr. Petitioners
Versus
Board of Trustees of the Port of Mumbai & Ors. Respondents

Mr.Sanjay Udeshi for the Petitioners.
None for the Respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.441 OF 2002

Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd. & Anr. Petitioners
 Versus
 Bharat Petroleum Corpn. Employee's Union & Ors.
 Respondents

None for the Petitioners.
 Mr.N. M. Ganguli for the Respondents.

CORAM : DALVEER BHANDARI, C.J. &
S.J. VAZIFDAR, J.
DATE : 23RD SEPTEMBER, 2005.

P.C. :

The learned counsel for the Petitioners submit that the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-Cum-Labour Court has not been functioning for more than one year. In this view of the matter, the order of this Court to decide the references within twelve months could not be carried on.

2. In the facts and circumstances of the case, we deem it appropriate to grant time to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-Cum-Labour Court to decide the references on or before 31st March, 2006.

CHIEF JUSTICE

S.J. VAZIFDAR, J.